IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

FIRST APPEAL No 222 of 1980

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

RAJUBEN BALUBHAI NARSINHBHAI

Versus

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

Appearance:

NOTICE SERVED for Appellants No. 1-3

MR SB VAKIL for Respondent No. 1

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 16/09/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

On elevation of the learned counsel for the appellants as a Judge of this court, notice was issued to

the appellants. It appears that the appellants were served but they did not enter fresh appearance. A fresh notice was issued on 24th July, 2002 asking them to remain present before the court on 12th August, 2002. The said notice also has been served by affixing. However, the appellants have not chosen to engage another advocate. It appears that they are not interested in prosecuting the appeal. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed for want of prosecution with no order as to costs.

(M.C. Patel, J.)

hki